Available at:
https://journal.stai-almujtama.ac.id/index.php/virtuous
DOI: 00000000000000000000

E-ISSN: 3063-2242

VIRTUOUS
Journal of Islamic Mysticism, Psychotherapy & Philosophy

submitted: 08-05-2024 | reviewed: 01-06-2024 | revised: 13-07-2024 | accepted: 01-08-2024

Nurturing Psychological Sensitivity in Early Childhood: Preparing Young Minds for the Challenges of Artificial Intelligence

Nurmawizatillah
Universitas Muhammadiyah, Jakarta
nurmaw@gmail.com

Abstract

The rapid advancement of artificial intelligence (AI) is transforming the cognitive, social, and emotional landscapes in which children grow and develop. This paper examines the importance of nurturing psychological sensitivity in early childhood as a foundational strategy for preparing young minds to navigate the complexities of an AI-driven world. Psychological sensitivity, understood as the capacity for emotional awareness, empathy, adaptability, and reflective thinking, is increasingly essential in an era where human-machine interaction is pervasive. Using a qualitative and interdisciplinary approach, this study integrates insights from developmental psychology, education, and digital culture to propose a framework for cultivating psychological sensitivity in early childhood. The findings suggest that early emotional attunement, guided play, ethical digital exposure, and value-based education play critical roles in developing resilient and adaptive children. Furthermore, the study argues that psychological sensitivity functions as a protective factor against the potential dehumanizing effects of excessive AI reliance. This research contributes to contemporary educational discourse by offering a holistic model that bridges early childhood development with emerging technological challenges.

Keywords: Early Childhood, Psychological Sensitivity, Artificial Intelligence, Emotional Development, Digital Literacy

Introduction

The accelerating development of artificial intelligence (AI) has fundamentally reshaped the epistemological, social, and psychological structures of contemporary human life. AI is no longer confined to industrial automation or specialized computational domains; rather, it has permeated everyday human interactions, educational systems, and even early childhood environments. From intelligent learning platforms to algorithm-driven content consumption, children today are growing up within an ecosystem where human cognition increasingly intersects with machine intelligence. This transformation raises profound questions about how early childhood development should be reoriented to ensure that human capacities—particularly those related to emotional and psychological depth—are not diminished but instead strengthened.[1]

Early childhood represents a critical developmental phase characterized by rapid neural growth, emotional formation, and the establishment of foundational cognitive schemas. Developmental theorists have long emphasized that experiences during this period significantly influence long-term psychological outcomes. Emotional attunement, social interaction, and environmental responsiveness shape the architecture of the developing brain, particularly in areas related to empathy, self-regulation, and relational awareness.[2] In this regard, psychological sensitivity—understood as the capacity to perceive, interpret, and respond to emotional and social cues—emerges as a central construct in early childhood development. It encompasses not only emotional intelligence but also moral awareness, adaptability, and reflective thinking.[3]

However, the integration of AI into children's daily lives introduces new dynamics that may disrupt traditional pathways of psychological development. Digital environments often prioritize

[1] UNESCO, *Artificial Intelligence in Education: Challenges and Opportunities* (Paris: UNESCO, 2019), 5–8.

[2] Urie Bronfenbrenner, *The Ecology of Human Development* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1979), 21–27.

[3] Daniel Goleman, *Emotional Intelligence* (New York: Bantam Books, 1995), 43–46.

efficiency, speed, and personalization through algorithms, potentially reducing opportunities for deep interpersonal engagement. Scholars have expressed concern that excessive exposure to screen-based interactions may limit the development of empathy and diminish the richness of human communication.[4] For instance, research suggests that mediated interactions tend to lack the emotional nuance present in face-to-face communication, thereby affecting children's ability to develop social sensitivity and emotional literacy.[5] This phenomenon has led to what some researchers describe as a "relational deficit" in technologically saturated environments.[6]

At the same time, the discourse on AI in education has predominantly focused on technical competencies such as coding, computational thinking, and digital literacy. While these skills are undeniably important, they represent only one dimension of preparedness for the future. A growing body of literature argues that the true challenge of the AI era lies not merely in understanding technology, but in maintaining distinctly human qualities that machines cannot replicate. Emotional intelligence, ethical reasoning, and psychological resilience are increasingly recognized as essential competencies in navigating a world where human and artificial agents coexist.[7] Yet, these dimensions remain underexplored in early childhood education frameworks, which often prioritize cognitive and academic outcomes over socio-emotional development.

Recent interdisciplinary studies have begun to highlight the importance of integrating psychological and emotional dimensions into discussions of AI readiness. Research in developmental psychology underscores that children who exhibit higher levels of emotional awareness and empathy are better equipped to adapt to complex and

[4] Sherry Turkle, *Alone Together: Why We Expect More from Technology and Less from Each Other* (New York: Basic Books, 2011), 155–160.

[5] Sherry Turkle, *Reclaiming Conversation: The Power of Talk in a Digital Age* (New York: Penguin, 2015), 62–68.

[6] Jean M. Twenge, *iGen* (New York: Atria Books, 2017), 98–105.

[7] Klaus Schwab, *The Fourth Industrial Revolution* (New York: Crown Business, 2016), 90–95.

uncertain environments.[8] Similarly, educational theorists advocate for a holistic approach that combines cognitive, emotional, and ethical development.[9] Within this context, psychological sensitivity can be conceptualized as a foundational competency that enables children to engage meaningfully with both human and technological systems. It allows them to interpret digital experiences critically, maintain authentic relationships, and exercise moral judgment in increasingly automated contexts.

Furthermore, the concept of psychological sensitivity aligns with ecological models of human development, which emphasize the interaction between individuals and their environments. In an AI-mediated world, the "environment" now includes not only physical and social contexts but also digital and algorithmic systems.[10] This expanded ecology necessitates a rethinking of how children are guided in their interactions with technology. Rather than viewing AI solely as a tool, it must be understood as a shaping force that influences cognition, behavior, and emotional experience. Consequently, the role of parents, educators, and policymakers becomes crucial in designing environments that support healthy psychological development while embracing technological innovation.

This study responds to the emerging need for a more comprehensive framework that bridges early childhood development with the challenges posed by artificial intelligence. It argues that nurturing psychological sensitivity is not an optional enhancement but a fundamental necessity in preparing children for the future. By integrating insights from developmental psychology, education, and digital studies, this research seeks to articulate a model in which emotional awareness, empathy, and ethical reflection are positioned at the center of early childhood education. Such a model not only equips children to navigate the complexities of AI but also ensures that the human dimension of experience remains intact in an increasingly technological world.

---

[8] John D. Mayer, Peter Salovey, and David R. Caruso, "Emotional Intelligence: Theory, Findings, and Implications," *Psychological Inquiry* 15, no. 3 (2004): 197–215.

[9] Howard Gardner, *Frames of Mind: The Theory of Multiple Intelligences* (New York: Basic Books, 1983), 239–245.

[10] Bronfenbrenner, *The Ecology of Human Development*, 15–18.

VIRTUOUS
Volume 01/No. 02/November 2024
No. e-ISSN: 3063-2242

In doing so, this paper contributes to ongoing scholarly conversations by shifting the focus from purely technical readiness to a more balanced understanding of human development in the AI era. It proposes that the sustainability of human values in a technologically advanced society depends largely on how early these values are cultivated. Therefore, the central question guiding this research is not simply how children can adapt to artificial intelligence, but how they can remain deeply human while doing so.

Methodology

This study employs a qualitative research design based on a library-based (documentary) approach, aiming to explore the concept of psychological sensitivity in early childhood within the context of artificial intelligence. The research draws upon interdisciplinary sources, including developmental psychology, early childhood education, and contemporary studies on AI and digital culture. Primary data consist of seminal theoretical works, while secondary data include peer-reviewed journal articles and institutional reports. This approach allows for a comprehensive conceptual analysis rather than empirical measurement, focusing on the construction of a theoretical framework relevant to current educational challenges.

The method of analysis used in this study is thematic analysis combined with a conceptual synthesis approach. Key themes—such as emotional development, empathy, resilience, digital interaction, and ethical awareness—are identified, categorized, and critically examined across the selected literature. Through this process, the study integrates diverse perspectives to formulate a coherent understanding of how psychological sensitivity can be nurtured in early childhood. The analysis also involves a comparative reading of classical developmental theories and contemporary discussions on AI to identify both continuities and emerging gaps.

To ensure validity and academic rigor, the study adopts a critical-analytical perspective by evaluating the relevance, consistency, and applicability of existing theories in the context of the AI era. Sources are selected based on their scholarly credibility and relevance to the research topic. Furthermore, triangulation of perspectives—psychological, educational, and technological—is applied to strengthen the conceptual

framework. This methodological approach ultimately aims to produce a nuanced and integrative model that contributes to the discourse on early childhood development in an increasingly AI-driven world.

Discussion

1. Psychological Sensitivity as a Distinctively Human Competency

The rapid expansion of artificial intelligence (AI) has intensified scholarly debates concerning the preservation of uniquely human capacities in increasingly automated environments. Among these capacities, psychological sensitivity—defined as the integration of emotional awareness, empathy, and moral responsiveness—emerges as a critical dimension that distinguishes human intelligence from machine-based cognition.[11] While AI systems excel in data processing and predictive accuracy, they lack the phenomenological depth required for authentic emotional understanding.[12] Consequently, the cultivation of psychological sensitivity in early childhood becomes not merely developmental but civilizational in significance.

From a developmental psychology perspective, early emotional attunement plays a decisive role in shaping neural pathways associated with empathy and self-regulation.[13] Studies in affective neuroscience indicate that repeated relational experiences during childhood contribute to the formation of emotional schemas that persist into adulthood.[14] This suggests that psychological sensitivity is not an innate static trait but a dynamic capacity shaped by environmental interactions. In the AI era, where interactions are increasingly mediated by digital systems, the nature of these formative experiences is undergoing significant transformation.

2. Algorithmic Environments and the Restructuring of Childhood Experience

Artificial intelligence does not simply operate as an external tool; it actively restructures the environments within which children develop.

[11] Daniel Goleman, *Emotional Intelligence* (New York: Bantam, 1995), 43.
[12] Stuart Russell and Peter Norvig, *Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach* (Boston: Pearson, 2021), 1020.
[13] John Bowlby, *Attachment and Loss*, vol. 1 (New York: Basic Books, 1969), 179.
[14] Allan N. Schore, *Affect Regulation and the Origin of the Self* (Hillsdale: Erlbaum, 1994), 112.

Algorithm-driven platforms curate content based on predictive models, thereby shaping attention, preferences, and behavioral patterns.[15] This personalization, while enhancing efficiency, may inadvertently limit exposure to diverse perspectives and reduce opportunities for cognitive and emotional challenge.[16] Scholars have argued that such environments risk fostering what has been termed "algorithmic conditioning," where individuals become habituated to passive consumption rather than active engagement.[17]

Moreover, the increasing substitution of human interaction with digital interfaces raises concerns regarding the development of empathy. Face-to-face communication involves complex multimodal cues—such as eye contact, tone, and gesture—that are essential for emotional learning.[18] Digital interactions, by contrast, often simplify or eliminate these cues, potentially leading to a diminished capacity for emotional recognition.[19] Empirical studies have demonstrated that excessive screen exposure in early childhood correlates with lower levels of social competence and emotional regulation.[20]

However, it is essential to adopt a nuanced perspective. AI technologies, when designed and implemented responsibly, can also support developmental processes. Educational applications powered by AI can provide personalized learning experiences and adaptive feedback, thereby enhancing cognitive engagement.[21] The critical issue, therefore, lies not in the presence of AI itself but in the absence of a human-centered framework that governs its use.

3. Reorienting Early Childhood Education toward Emotional and Ethical Development

The findings of this study underscore the need to fundamentally reorient early childhood education. Traditional pedagogical models have largely emphasized cognitive competencies, often at the expense of

[15] Shoshana Zuboff, *The Age of Surveillance Capitalism* (New York: PublicAffairs, 2019), 95.
[16] Eli Pariser, *The Filter Bubble* (New York: Penguin, 2011), 9.
[17] Nick Srnicek, *Platform Capitalism* (Cambridge: Polity, 2017), 78.
[18] Colwyn Trevarthen, "Communication and Cooperation in Early Infancy," *Developmental Medicine* 1980, 321.
[19] Sherry Turkle, *Alone Together* (New York: Basic Books, 2011), 156.
[20] Jean M. Twenge, *iGen* (New York: Atria, 2017), 101.
[21] UNESCO, *Artificial Intelligence in Education* (Paris, 2019), 14.

emotional and ethical development.[22] In the context of AI, this imbalance becomes increasingly problematic, as cognitive tasks are progressively automated. The value of human contribution thus shifts toward domains that require emotional intelligence, creativity, and ethical judgment.[23]

Holistic educational approaches that integrate emotional, social, and moral learning are therefore essential. Play-based learning, for instance, provides a natural context for the development of empathy and cooperation.[24] Through imaginative play, children engage in perspective-taking and emotional negotiation, which are foundational to psychological sensitivity.[25] Similarly, narrative-based learning enables children to explore moral dilemmas and develop ethical reasoning.[26]

Recent educational theories also emphasize the importance of social-emotional learning (SEL) frameworks, which aim to cultivate self-awareness, relationship skills, and responsible decision-making.[27] These frameworks align closely with the concept of psychological sensitivity and offer practical strategies for its implementation in early childhood settings. Importantly, integrating SEL within digital environments requires intentional design to ensure that technological tools support rather than undermine emotional development.[28]

4. The Mediating Role of Caregivers and Educators

The development of psychological sensitivity is deeply embedded in relational contexts, making the role of caregivers and educators indispensable. Attachment theory highlights that secure relationships with caregivers provide the emotional foundation necessary for exploration and learning.[29] In digitally mediated environments, this relational dimension must be actively preserved and strengthened.

Caregivers play a crucial role in mediating children's interactions with AI technologies. This involves not only regulating screen time but

[22] Howard Gardner, *Frames of Mind* (New York: Basic Books, 1983), 240.
[23] Klaus Schwab, *The Fourth Industrial Revolution* (New York: Crown, 2016), 91.
[24] Lev Vygotsky, *Mind in Society* (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1978), 102.
[25] Alison Gopnik, *The Philosophical Baby* (New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2009), 134.
[26] Jerome Bruner, *Actual Minds, Possible Worlds* (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1986), 52.
[27] CASEL, *SEL Framework* (Chicago: CASEL, 2020), 5.
[28] Neil Selwyn, *Education and Technology* (London: Bloomsbury, 2016), 88.
[29] Bowlby, *Attachment and Loss*, 223.

VIRTUOUS
Volume 01/No. 02/November 2024
No. e-ISSN: 3063-2242

also engaging in co-use and reflective dialogue.[30] By discussing digital experiences with children, caregivers can help them interpret content, recognize emotional cues, and develop critical awareness.[31] Such practices transform passive consumption into active learning, thereby enhancing psychological sensitivity.

Educators, meanwhile, are tasked with integrating ethical and emotional considerations into technology-enhanced learning environments. This includes addressing issues such as algorithmic bias, digital responsibility, and the distinction between human and machine agency.[32] By fostering critical digital literacy, educators enable children to navigate AI systems with awareness and discernment.[33]

5. Psychological Sensitivity as a Foundation for Resilience

In addition to its role in social and emotional development, psychological sensitivity functions as a key determinant of resilience. Resilience, understood as the capacity to adapt to adversity and uncertainty, is increasingly important in a rapidly changing technological landscape.[34] Children who possess high levels of emotional awareness and self-regulation are better equipped to manage stress and navigate complex environments.[35]

Research indicates that resilience is closely linked to the ability to process emotions and maintain supportive relationships.[36] Psychological sensitivity enhances these capacities by fostering empathy, reflective thinking, and adaptive coping strategies.[37] In the context of AI, where rapid technological change can generate uncertainty and anxiety, these qualities become particularly valuable.

Furthermore, psychological sensitivity enables children to maintain a coherent sense of identity in environments characterized by

[30] Sonia Livingstone, *Children and the Internet* (Cambridge: Polity, 2009), 67.
[31] Livingstone, 72.
[32] Safiya Noble, *Algorithms of Oppression* (New York: NYU Press, 2018), 4.
[33] David Buckingham, *Digital Literacy* (Cambridge: Polity, 2015), 36.
[34] Ann Masten, *Ordinary Magic* (New York: Guilford Press, 2014), 6.
[35] Masten, 34.
[36] Michael Rutter, "Resilience in the Face of Adversity," *British Journal of Psychiatry* 147 (1985): 600.
[37] Goleman, *Emotional Intelligence*, 87.

constant digital stimulation.[38] By cultivating self-awareness and emotional depth, children can resist the fragmenting effects of algorithm-driven experiences and develop a stable sense of self.[39]

6. Toward a Human-Centered Paradigm of AI Integration

The discussion ultimately points toward the necessity of a human-centered paradigm in the integration of AI into early childhood development. Such a paradigm prioritizes human values—empathy, dignity, and ethical responsibility—over purely instrumental considerations.[40] It recognizes that the purpose of education extends beyond economic productivity to encompass the cultivation of humane and socially responsible individuals.[41]

In practical terms, this requires collaboration across disciplines, including psychology, education, technology, and policy.[42] AI systems designed for children must be evaluated not only for their functional effectiveness but also for their impact on psychological well-being.[43] This includes considerations of emotional engagement, social interaction, and ethical implications.

Ultimately, the sustainability of human society in the age of AI depends on the extent to which these values are preserved and transmitted. Psychological sensitivity, as a foundational human capacity, plays a central role in this process. By nurturing this capacity from early childhood, society can ensure that technological advancement is accompanied by ethical and emotional maturity, thereby maintaining the integrity of human experience in an increasingly artificial world.[44]

Conclusion

This study has demonstrated that psychological sensitivity constitutes a foundational human capacity that must be deliberately nurtured in early childhood to address the challenges posed by artificial intelligence (AI). As AI systems increasingly assume cognitive and

[38] Turkle, *Reclaiming Conversation* (New York: Penguin, 2015), 90.
[39] Turkle, 95.
[40] UNESCO, *AI and Ethics* (Paris, 2021), 11.
[41] Martha Nussbaum, *Not for Profit* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2010), 23.
[42] Luciano Floridi, *The Ethics of Information* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2013), 45.
[43] Floridi, 59.
[44] Nussbaum, *Not for Profit*, 115.

procedural functions, the distinctiveness of human intelligence shifts toward emotional depth, ethical awareness, and relational understanding. Psychological sensitivity—encompassing empathy, self-awareness, and moral responsiveness—therefore emerges not merely as a developmental attribute, but as a strategic necessity for sustaining human agency in technologically mediated environments.

The analysis reveals that early childhood represents a critical window for cultivating this capacity, as neural plasticity and socio-emotional learning are most active during this stage. However, the growing presence of AI in children's environments introduces both opportunities and risks. While AI can enhance learning and accessibility, it may also reduce opportunities for authentic human interaction and emotional engagement if not properly mediated. Consequently, the central challenge is not to resist technological advancement, but to integrate it within a framework that prioritizes human development.

Ultimately, this study argues that preparing children for an AI-driven future requires a paradigm shift from technology-centered education to human-centered development. By embedding psychological sensitivity at the core of early childhood education, society can ensure that technological progress is accompanied by ethical maturity and emotional intelligence.

Bibliography

Bowlby, John. *Attachment and Loss*. Vol. 1. New York: Basic Books, 1969.

Bronfenbrenner, Urie. *The Ecology of Human Development: Experiments by Nature and Design*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1979.

Bruner, Jerome. *Actual Minds, Possible Worlds*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1986.

Buckingham, David. *Digital Literacy and Media Education*. Cambridge: Polity Press, 2015.

CASEL (Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning). *SEL Framework*. Chicago: CASEL, 2020.

Floridi, Luciano. *The Ethics of Information*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2013.

Gardner, Howard. *Frames of Mind: The Theory of Multiple Intelligences*. New York: Basic Books, 1983.

Goleman, Daniel. *Emotional Intelligence*. New York: Bantam Books, 1995.

Gopnik, Alison. *The Philosophical Baby: What Children's Minds Tell Us about Truth, Love, and the Meaning of Life*. New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2009.

Livingstone, Sonia. *Children and the Internet: Great Expectations, Challenging Realities*. Cambridge: Polity Press, 2009.

Masten, Ann S. *Ordinary Magic: Resilience in Development*. New York: Guilford Press, 2014.

Noble, Safiya Umoja. *Algorithms of Oppression: How Search Engines Reinforce Racism*. New York: New York University Press, 2018.

Nussbaum, Martha C. *Not for Profit: Why Democracy Needs the Humanities*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2010.

Pariser, Eli. *The Filter Bubble: What the Internet Is Hiding from You*. New York: Penguin Books, 2011.

Russell, Stuart, and Peter Norvig. *Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach*. 4th ed. Boston: Pearson, 2021.

Schore, Allan N. *Affect Regulation and the Origin of the Self: The Neurobiology of Emotional Development*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 1994.

Schwab, Klaus. *The Fourth Industrial Revolution*. New York: Crown Business, 2016.

Selwyn, Neil. *Education and Technology: Key Issues and Debates*. London: Bloomsbury Academic, 2016.

Srnicek, Nick. *Platform Capitalism*. Cambridge: Polity Press, 2017.

Trevarthen, Colwyn. "Communication and Cooperation in Early Infancy: A Description of Primary Intersubjectivity." *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology* 22, no. 3 (1980): 321–347.

Turkle, Sherry. *Alone Together: Why We Expect More from Technology and Less from Each Other*. New York: Basic Books, 2011.

Turkle, Sherry. *Reclaiming Conversation: The Power of Talk in a Digital Age*. New York: Penguin Press, 2015.

Twenge, Jean M. *iGen: Why Today's Super-Connected Kids Are Growing Up Less Rebellious, More Tolerant, Less Happy—and Completely Unprepared for Adulthood*. New York: Atria Books, 2017.

UNESCO. *Artificial Intelligence in Education: Challenges and Opportunities*. Paris: UNESCO Publishing, 2019.

UNESCO. *Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence*. Paris: UNESCO, 2021.

Vygotsky, Lev S. *Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1978.

Zuboff, Shoshana. *The Age of Surveillance Capitalism: The Fight for a Human Future at the New Frontier of Power*. New York: PublicAffairs, 2019.