

Epistemic Mapping of Prophethood and Philosophy in the Thought of Al-Farabi: Reconstructing the Relationship between Revelation and Reason in Islamic Intellectual Tradition

Mehmet Burak Güneş

Felsefe Anabilim Dalı, Kocaeli Üniversitesi, Türkiye
Email: senugbm@gmail.com

Abstract

This study aims to analyze the relationship between prophethood (*nubuwwah*) and philosophy in the intellectual system of Al-Farabi through an epistemic mapping approach. This research employs a qualitative method based on library research, drawing primarily from Al-Farabi's major works alongside relevant secondary sources. The findings reveal that Al-Farabi does not perceive revelation and reason as contradictory; rather, he situates them within a unified hierarchical epistemological framework. Prophethood represents the highest form of knowledge attained through intellectual emanation, while philosophy constitutes a rational path toward the same truth. Therefore, the relationship between the prophet and the philosopher is complementary rather than dichotomous. This study contributes to a deeper understanding of the integration of knowledge in Islamic intellectual tradition and its relevance for contemporary Islamic epistemology.

Keywords: Al-Farabi, prophethood, philosophy, Islamic epistemology, epistemic mapping

Introduction

The relationship between revelation and reason has long constituted a central and enduring problem in Islamic intellectual history. From the formative period of Islamic thought, scholars have grappled with the question of how divine knowledge (*wahy*) relates to human rational inquiry (*‘aql*), particularly in the context of the translation movement and the encounter with Hellenistic philosophy. Within this broader discourse, the thought of Al-Farabi occupies a pivotal position, as it offers one of the earliest and most systematic attempts to synthesize prophetic tradition with Greek philosophical rationality.

Al-Farabi’s intellectual project cannot be separated from the legacy of Aristotle and the Neoplatonic tradition, which he reinterpreted within an Islamic metaphysical framework. His designation as the “Second Teacher” (*al-Mu‘allim al-Thani*) reflects not only his mastery of Aristotelian logic but also his innovative effort to integrate philosophical reasoning with the epistemological authority of revelation. Rather than perceiving revelation and reason as competing sources of truth, Al-Farabi situates both within a unified hierarchical structure of knowledge grounded in the emanation of intellect (*al-‘aql*) from the First Cause.¹

In contemporary scholarship, however, the relationship between religion and philosophy is often framed in dichotomous terms—text versus reason, faith versus logic, or revelation versus rationality. This binary framework is largely a product of modern epistemological assumptions shaped by secularization and the fragmentation of knowledge.² Such a perspective risks oversimplifying the intellectual legacy of classical Muslim philosophers, who generally did not perceive an inherent contradiction between revelation and reason. Instead, thinkers like Al-Farabi conceptualized both as complementary modes of accessing a single, unified truth.³

¹ Al-Farabi, *Ara’ Ahl al-Madinah al-Fadilah* (Beirut: Dar al-Mashriq, 1986), 45–47.

² George Saliba, “Islamic Science and the Making of the European Renaissance,” *MIT Press*, 2007, 12.

³ Oliver Leaman, “Does the Interpretation of Islamic Philosophy Rest on a Mistake?” *International Journal of Middle East Studies* 12, no. 4 (1980): 525–538.

Al-Farabi's theory of knowledge demonstrates that revelation (*nubuwwah*) and philosophy operate within the same epistemological continuum. While the philosopher attains truth through demonstrative reasoning (*burhan*), the prophet receives the same truths through a perfected imaginative faculty that translates intelligible realities into symbolic forms accessible to the broader community.⁴ In this sense, the distinction between prophet and philosopher is not ontological but methodological and functional. Both derive their knowledge from the Active Intellect (*al-'aql al-fa'al*), yet they differ in their modes of reception and communication.

Despite the richness of this framework, existing studies on Al-Farabi's concept of prophethood and philosophy remain largely descriptive. Many focus on either his political philosophy, particularly the concept of the virtuous city (*al-madinah al-fadilah*), or his metaphysical theory of emanation, without systematically reconstructing the epistemological relationship between revelation and reason.⁵ Moreover, there is a noticeable lack of analytical approaches that employ structural or mapping methodologies to uncover the internal coherence of his epistemology.

This study identifies a significant research gap in the absence of a comprehensive epistemic mapping of the relationship between prophethood and philosophy in Al-Farabi's thought. While previous works have acknowledged the compatibility between revelation and reason, they have not sufficiently explored how this compatibility is structured within a hierarchical system of knowledge.

Therefore, this study seeks to address this gap by proposing an epistemic mapping approach that allows for a systematic reconstruction of Al-Farabi's intellectual framework. Specifically, this research aims to:

1. Map the epistemological structure of Al-Farabi's system of knowledge.
2. Analyze the concept of prophethood within a philosophical and epistemological framework.

⁴ Muhsin Mahdi, "Alfarabi on Philosophy and Religion," *Philosophy East and West* 14, no. 3/4 (1964): 251–261.

⁵ Ian Richard Netton, "Al-Farabi and the Problem of Prophecy," *Journal of Islamic Studies* 1, no. 1 (1990): 19–38.

3. Examine the relationship between the prophet and the philosopher through epistemic mapping, highlighting their complementary roles in the pursuit and transmission of truth.

By doing so, this study not only contributes to a deeper understanding of Al-Farabi's philosophy but also offers a conceptual foundation for rethinking the integration of knowledge in contemporary Islamic epistemology.

Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative approach using a library research method. The primary sources consist of the major works of Al-Farabi, particularly those addressing metaphysics, epistemology, and political philosophy.

The analysis employs:

1. Conceptual analysis to examine key terms such as *nubuwwah*, intellect, and emanation.
2. Epistemic mapping to reconstruct the structural relationships between sources of knowledge.

Philosophical-historical approach to contextualize Al-Farabi's thought within the broader Islamic intellectual tradition.

Al-Farabi's Epistemological Framework

Within the philosophical system of Al-Farabi, knowledge is structured upon a hierarchical and metaphysical process rooted in the doctrine of emanation (*fayd*), which originates from the First Cause (*al-sabab al-awwal*). This First Cause, identified with the Necessary Being, serves as the ultimate source of all existence and intelligibility. From it proceeds a chain of intellects, each representing a distinct ontological level, culminating in the Active Intellect (*al-'aql al-fa'al*), which plays a decisive role in human cognition.⁶

This emanative hierarchy reflects a synthesis of Aristotelian and Neoplatonic elements, particularly the influence of Aristotle in logic and causality, alongside the metaphysical gradation of being derived from late antique philosophy. However, Al-Farabi does not merely adopt these

⁶ Al-Farabi, *Al-Madinah al-Fadilah*, trans. Richard Walzer (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1985), 56–60.

traditions; rather, he reconstructs them within an Islamic intellectual framework that accommodates both philosophical reasoning and prophetic revelation.⁷

At the level of human epistemology, Al-Farabi posits that the human intellect (*al-'aql al-insani*) initially exists in a state of potentiality (*al-'aql bi al-quwwah*). Through a process of intellectual actualization, this potential intellect becomes actual (*al-'aql bi al-fi'l*) when it establishes contact with the Active Intellect. This process is not merely cognitive but ontological, as it involves the perfection of the human soul through the reception of intelligible forms.⁸

Within this framework, Al-Farabi distinguishes between different modes of knowledge acquisition, each corresponding to varying levels of epistemic access:

1. Rational Knowledge (*al-ma'rifah al-'aqliyyah*)

This form of knowledge is acquired through demonstrative reasoning (*burhan*) and logical analysis. It represents the highest form of philosophical inquiry and is primarily associated with the philosopher, who seeks truth through systematic and disciplined intellectual effort.

2. Imaginative-Prophetic Knowledge (*al-ma'rifah al-nabawiyyah*)

Prophetic knowledge, on the other hand, is attained through the perfection of the imaginative faculty (*al-quwwah al-mutakhayyilah*). The prophet possesses an exceptional capacity to receive emanations from the Active Intellect and translate them into symbolic, sensory, and linguistic forms that are accessible to the general public.⁹

3. Unified Source of Knowledge

Despite their methodological differences, both rational and prophetic knowledge ultimately derive from the same metaphysical source, namely the Active Intellect as the intermediary of divine emanation. This establishes a profound epistemological unity between philosophy and revelation.

⁷ Dimitri Gutas, "The Heritage of Aristotle in Arabic Philosophy," *Greek, Roman, and Byzantine Studies* 29, no. 4 (1988): 517–535.

⁸ Muhsin Mahdi, "Alfarabi on Philosophy and Religion," *Philosophy East and West* 14, no. 3/4 (1964): 252–255.

⁹ Ian Richard Netton, "Al-Farabi and the Problem of Prophecy," *Journal of Islamic Studies* 1, no. 1 (1990): 25–30.

Consequently, Al-Farabi rejects any ontological dichotomy between revelation and reason. Instead, he conceptualizes them as complementary and hierarchically integrated modes of accessing truth. The philosopher apprehends truth in its abstract and universal form, while the prophet communicates the same truths through symbols, narratives, and laws suited to the cognitive capacities of society.¹⁰

This epistemological model not only reconciles the domains of religion and philosophy but also provides the foundation for Al-Farabi's political philosophy, particularly his conception of the virtuous city (*al-madinah al-fadilah*), where the prophet-philosopher serves as the ideal leader. In this sense, epistemology is inseparable from ethics and politics, forming a unified vision of human perfection and social order.

4. Prophethood in Philosophical Perspective: A Comparative Epistemic Analysis

The concept of prophethood (*nubuwwah*) occupies a central position in Islamic philosophy, functioning not merely as a theological doctrine but as a crucial epistemological category. Within the framework of Al-Farabi, prophethood is interpreted through a philosophical lens that integrates metaphysics, psychology, and epistemology into a unified system of knowledge.

For Al-Farabi, the prophet is not only a recipient of divine revelation but also the highest manifestation of human intellectual and imaginative perfection. Unlike ordinary individuals, the prophet possesses an extraordinary capacity to establish a direct and continuous connection with the Active Intellect (*al-'aql al-fa'al*). This connection enables the prophet to receive intelligible truths and simultaneously translate them into symbolic and imaginative forms that can be understood by the broader community.¹¹

Thus, prophecy in Al-Farabi's system is not opposed to philosophy but represents its perfection and completion at the level of society. While the philosopher apprehends truth through demonstrative reasoning (*burhan*), the prophet communicates the same truths through images, metaphors, and legal prescriptions. In this sense, religion becomes a

¹⁰ Ian Richard Netton, "Al-Farabi and the Problem of Prophecy," *Journal of Islamic Studies* 1, no. 1 (1990): 25–30.

¹¹ Al-Farabi, *Ara' Ahl al-Madinah al-Fadilah*, 72–75.

symbolic representation of philosophical truth, tailored to the cognitive capacities of the masses.¹²

However, this philosophical interpretation of prophethood undergoes significant development in the thought of Ibn Sina (Avicenna). Ibn Sina builds upon Al-Farabi's framework but introduces a more refined psychological and epistemological analysis of prophetic experience. He emphasizes the role of the imaginative faculty (*al-quwwah al-mutakhayyilah*) as a mediating power that transforms intelligible forms into sensory representations.¹³

For Ibn Sina, the prophet differs from the philosopher not only in communicative function but also in the intensity and immediacy of epistemic reception. The prophet experiences a form of intuitive knowledge (*hads*) that surpasses ordinary rational cognition. This intuitive knowledge allows the prophet to access universal truths instantaneously, without the need for discursive reasoning. Moreover, Ibn Sina attributes to the prophet a unique political function, as the lawgiver (*shari'*) who establishes social order based on divine wisdom.¹⁴

Despite these developments, both Al-Farabi and Ibn Sina maintain a fundamental epistemological unity between revelation and reason. In their view, prophecy does not contradict philosophy; rather, it represents a higher mode of knowledge that complements and completes rational inquiry.

In contrast, the perspective of Al-Ghazali introduces a critical shift in the epistemological understanding of prophethood. While Al-Ghazali does not reject philosophy entirely, he challenges the claims of philosophers regarding the sufficiency of reason in attaining ultimate truth. In works such as *Tahafut al-Falasifah*, he critiques the metaphysical assumptions of philosophers, particularly their views on causality and the eternity of the world.¹⁵

¹² Muhsin Mahdi, "Alfarabi on Philosophy and Religion," *Philosophy East and West* 14, no. 3/4 (1964): 256–258.

¹³ Ibn Sina, *Al-Shifa' (Kitab al-Nafs)* (Cairo: al-Hay'ah al-Misriyyah, 1975), 210–215.

¹⁴ Peter Adamson, "Avicenna on Prophecy," *Arabic Sciences and Philosophy* 18, no. 1 (2008): 5–25.

¹⁵ Peter Adamson, "Avicenna on Prophecy," *Arabic Sciences and Philosophy* 18, no. 1 (2008): 5–25.

For Al-Ghazali, prophetic knowledge represents a supra-rational form of certainty (*yaqin*) that cannot be fully accessed through philosophical reasoning. He emphasizes the experiential and spiritual dimension of knowledge, arguing that true understanding arises through divine illumination (*kashf*) rather than purely intellectual processes.¹⁶ In this framework, the prophet occupies a station that is fundamentally inaccessible to the philosopher, as it is grounded in divine selection rather than intellectual effort.

This divergence marks a critical epistemological tension within Islamic intellectual history. While Al-Farabi and Ibn Sina advocate for a harmonization of revelation and reason within a unified epistemic structure, Al-Ghazali introduces a more cautious approach that prioritizes revelation as the ultimate criterion of truth.

Nevertheless, it would be reductive to interpret this difference as a simple opposition. Rather, these perspectives represent distinct epistemic orientations:

1. Al-Farabi: Prophethood as symbolic philosophy (epistemic continuity).
2. Ibn Sina: Prophethood as intuitive-intellectual perfection (epistemic intensification).
3. Al-Ghazali: Prophethood as supra-rational illumination (epistemic transcendence).

Through this comparative lens, it becomes evident that the concept of prophethood serves as a point of convergence between theology and philosophy, revealing diverse yet interconnected attempts to articulate the relationship between divine truth and human understanding.

5. Epistemic Mapping: Prophet and Philosopher in Al-Farabi's System

The core contribution of this study lies in constructing an epistemic mapping of the relationship between the prophet and the philosopher within the framework of Al-Farabi. Rather than treating these two figures as oppositional or hierarchically exclusive, this section

¹⁶ Frank Griffel, *Al-Ghazali's Philosophical Theology* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2009), 98–110.

demonstrates that they occupy interconnected positions within a unified structure of knowledge.

5.1 Conceptual Foundation of Epistemic Mapping

Epistemic mapping, in this context, refers to a systematic reconstruction of the structure, sources, processes, and functions of knowledge as articulated in Al-Farabi's philosophy. It aims to identify:

1. The source of knowledge (metaphysical origin)
2. The mode of reception (epistemological process)
3. The form of expression (communicative transformation)
4. The social function (practical implementation)

Through this framework, both the prophet and the philosopher can be analyzed not as separate entities but as complementary nodes within the same epistemological system.

5.2 Structural Mapping: Source of Knowledge

At the highest level, both prophetic and philosophical knowledge originate from the same metaphysical source: the Active Intellect (*al-'aql al-fa'al*), which mediates the emanation of knowledge from the First Cause.¹⁷

This implies a shared ontological foundation, where:

1. Truth is singular and universal
2. Knowledge is hierarchically structured
3. Differences arise not in origin, but in reception and expression

Thus, the epistemic mapping begins with unity at the level of source.

5.3 Epistemic Process Mapping: Modes of Reception

The divergence between prophet and philosopher emerges at the level of epistemic process:

1. The Philosopher
 - Engages in discursive reasoning (*burhan*)
 - Moves from potential intellect to actual intellect through gradual abstraction
 - Requires training, logic, and intellectual discipline

¹⁷ Al-Farabi, *Al-Madinah al-Fadilah*, 60–65.

2. The Prophet

- Receives knowledge through immediate intuition and imaginative perfection
- Possesses an exceptional faculty (*al-quwwah al-mutakhayyilah*) that directly connects with the Active Intellect
- Experiences truth in a holistic and instantaneous manner

In this mapping, the difference is not qualitative in terms of truth, but modal in terms of epistemic access.¹⁸

5.4 Representational Mapping: Form of Knowledge

Another critical distinction lies in how knowledge is represented:

1. The philosopher expresses truth in abstract, universal, and conceptual forms
2. The prophet translates truth into symbols, narratives, laws, and rituals

This transformation is essential for bridging the gap between elite intellectual knowledge and mass understanding. As such, religion becomes a symbolic system of truth representation, rather than a separate epistemic domain.¹⁹

5.5 Functional Mapping: Social and Political Roles

Epistemic mapping also reveals a functional differentiation:

1. Philosopher → Seeker of truth (theoretical function)
2. Prophet → Communicator and legislator (practical function)

In Al-Farabi's political philosophy, these roles ideally converge in the figure of the prophet-philosopher, the ruler of the virtuous city (*al-madinah al-fadilah*).²⁰

¹⁸ Muhsin Mahdi, "Alfarabi on Philosophy and Religion," *Philosophy East and West* 14, no. 3/4 (1964): 259–261.

¹⁹ Charles E. Butterworth, "Philosophy and Religion in Alfarabi," *Review of Metaphysics* 32, no. 1 (1978): 91–109.

²⁰ Al-Farabi, *Ara' Ahl al-Madinah al-Fadilah*, 80–85.

This synthesis underscores that knowledge in Al-Farabi's system is not merely contemplative but inherently ethical and political, aimed at achieving human perfection and social harmony.

5.6 Integrative Epistemic Model

Based on the analysis above, the epistemic mapping can be summarized as follows:

| Dimension | Philosopher | Prophet |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Source | Active Intellect | Active Intellect |
| Mode of Reception | Discursive reasoning (<i>burhan</i>) | Intuition & imagination |
| Form of Knowledge | Abstract & conceptual | Symbolic & representational |
| Function | Theoretical inquiry | Social guidance & legislation |
| Epistemic Status | Rational perfection | Imaginative-intuitive perfection |

This model demonstrates that the relationship between prophet and philosopher is not dichotomous but complementary and integrative.

5.7 Theoretical Implication: Beyond Dichotomy

The epistemic mapping developed in this study challenges modern binary frameworks that separate religion and philosophy. Instead, it reveals a unified epistemological architecture in which:

1. Revelation and reason are structurally interconnected
2. Knowledge is both hierarchical and integrative
3. Truth is one, but accessed through multiple modes

This insight not only recontextualizes Al-Farabi's thought but also offers a conceptual foundation for reconstructing contemporary Islamic epistemology in a way that transcends the dichotomy between tradition and modernity.

6. Implications for Contemporary Islamic Epistemology

Al-Farabi's integrative framework offers significant insights for addressing the modern dichotomy between religious and secular knowledge. His thought provides a philosophical foundation for:

1. The integration of revealed and rational sciences
2. The Islamization of knowledge

3. The reconstruction of Islamic educational philosophy

This integrative vision resonates with contemporary scholars such as Syed Muhammad Naquib al-Attas, who emphasize the unity of knowledge within a worldview.

Conclusion

This study has examined the relationship between revelation and reason through a systematic reconstruction of the epistemological framework of Al-Farabi. By employing an epistemic mapping approach, it demonstrates that the apparent dichotomy between prophethood and philosophy is not inherent within classical Islamic thought but rather a product of modern interpretive frameworks.

The findings reveal that Al-Farabi constructs a unified epistemological system grounded in the emanation of knowledge from the Active Intellect. Within this system, both the prophet and the philosopher derive knowledge from the same metaphysical source, differing only in their modes of reception and forms of expression. The philosopher accesses truth through rational and demonstrative reasoning, while the prophet receives and communicates the same truths through intuitive and imaginative faculties.

Furthermore, the study shows that prophethood, in Al-Farabi's philosophy, represents not a contradiction to philosophy but its completion at the level of society. Religion, therefore, functions as a symbolic and practical articulation of philosophical truth, enabling its accessibility to the wider community. This integrative model challenges reductive binaries such as faith versus reason and highlights the epistemological coherence of classical Islamic philosophy.

This study offers several significant contributions to the field of Islamic intellectual history and philosophy: First, it introduces epistemic mapping as a methodological tool for analyzing classical Islamic texts. Unlike conventional descriptive approaches, this method enables a structural reconstruction of knowledge systems, revealing the internal coherence of philosophical thought. Second, the study provides a systematic model of the relationship between prophet and philosopher, demonstrating that their differences are modal rather than ontological. This contributes to a more nuanced understanding of the integration

between revelation and reason in Islamic philosophy. Third, the research advances the discourse by positioning Al-Farabi's thought as a unified epistemological paradigm, rather than a mere synthesis of Greek philosophy and Islamic theology. In doing so, it challenges modern dichotomous frameworks and reaffirms the relevance of classical epistemology in contemporary discussions. Fourth, through a comparative engagement with Ibn Sina and Al-Ghazali, this study highlights the diversity of epistemological orientations within the Islamic tradition—ranging from epistemic continuity (Al-Farabi), intensification (Ibn Sina), to transcendence (Al-Ghazali). This comparative dimension strengthens the analytical depth and broadens the scope of interpretation.

Despite its contributions, this study opens several avenues for further research: First, future studies may expand the epistemic mapping approach to other major figures in Islamic philosophy, such as Ibn Rushd (Averroes), in order to examine whether similar integrative models of knowledge can be identified or whether alternative epistemological structures emerge. Second, there is a need for more comparative and interdisciplinary research that connects classical Islamic epistemology with contemporary philosophical debates, particularly in the fields of philosophy of religion, epistemology, and cognitive science. Such engagement may enrich both Islamic studies and global philosophical discourse. Third, future research could explore the application of epistemic mapping in the context of Islamic legal theory (*usul al-fiqh*), especially in understanding the relationship between revelation, reason, and legal reasoning in contemporary *fiqh* discourse. Fourth, an important direction lies in examining the relevance of Al-Farabi's epistemology in modern Muslim societies, particularly in addressing the fragmentation of knowledge and the perceived conflict between religious and scientific worldviews. Finally, further studies may adopt a critical approach, interrogating the limitations of Al-Farabi's model—especially its elitist epistemology and its reliance on hierarchical structures of knowledge—to assess its applicability in modern democratic and pluralistic contexts.

Bibliography

- Adamson, Peter. 2008. "Avicenna on Prophecy." *Arabic Sciences and Philosophy* 18 (1): 5–25. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0957423908000464>
- Al-Farabi. 1985. *Al-Madinah al-Fadilah*. Translated by Richard Walzer. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Al-Farabi. 1986. *Ara' Ahl al-Madinah al-Fadilah*. Beirut: Dar al-Mashriq.
- Al-Ghazali. 1966. *Tahafut al-Falasifah*. Cairo: Dar al-Ma'arif.
- Butterworth, Charles E. 1978. "Philosophy and Religion in Alfarabi." *Review of Metaphysics* 32 (1): 91–109.
- Davidson, Herbert A. 1992. *Alfarabi, Avicenna, and Averroes on Intellect*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Gutas, Dimitri. 1988. "The Heritage of Aristotle in Arabic Philosophy." *Greek, Roman, and Byzantine Studies* 29 (4): 517–535.
- Griffel, Frank. 2009. *Al-Ghazali's Philosophical Theology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Leaman, Oliver. 2001. *An Introduction to Classical Islamic Philosophy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Leaman, Oliver. 1980. "Does the Interpretation of Islamic Philosophy Rest on a Mistake?" *International Journal of Middle East Studies* 12 (4): 525–538. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S002074380003059X>
- Mahdi, Muhsin. 1964. "Alfarabi on Philosophy and Religion." *Philosophy East and West* 14 (3/4): 251–261. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1397531>
- Netton, Ian Richard. 1990. "Al-Farabi and the Problem of Prophecy." *Journal of Islamic Studies* 1 (1): 19–38. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jis/1.1.19>
- Saliba, George. 2007. *Islamic Science and the Making of the European Renaissance*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.